APCTT-CSIR Workshop on Technology Commercialization and Transfer

1-3 November 2017, Ghaziabad, India

Technology Valuation – Case Study

Krishnan S Raghavan, Ph.D. Coordinator – Technology Transfer Asian and Pacific Centre for Transfer of Technology (APCTT) of the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UN ESCAP) in New Delhi, India





Presentation Overview

 Key Challenges in Cross-border Technology Transfer

Case Study on Technology Valuation

Concluding Remarks





Key Challenges in Cross-border Technology Transfer

• Barriers to the development and transfer of technologies exist at three layers (Global Climate Network, 2009):

 \checkmark In practice, where lack of skills to plan and implement TT projects and weaknesses in policies to direct technology flows can act as a barrier

✓ In principle, where, historically, TT and trade have been linked in controversial debates split along developed-developing country lines

 \checkmark In international climate law, where, under Article 4.5 of the UNFCCC, developed nations have a legal obligation to promote, facilitate, and finance, as appropriate, the transfer of, and access to technologies and know how to developing countries





Key Challenges in Cross-border Technology Transfer

- At the "practice layer" the following specific barriers are evident:
 - Lack of capacity at the user level to make a business case for a TT project, search for available technologies, choose from among the candidate technologies, negotiate the terms of transfer, implement the TT project, use the transferred technology effectively, and improve

operations through innovation.

 Absence of a coherent set of supportive policies to induce critical technologies. The policy mix needs to explicitly prioritize preferred technologies and provide targeted financial and fiscal incentives.





Key Challenges in Cross-border Technology Transfer

- At the other two layers specific barriers that have attracted attention are due to intellectual property and finance:
 - ✓ Intellectual property (IP) is at the core of innovation but it is also accepted that it can be a barrier for both horizontal and vertical technology transfer.
 - Many studies have suggested that lack of access to finance is a major barrier to technology development, and deployment. This is exacerbated by the fact that commercially useful technologies require high up-front investment when compared to other technologies





- A business firm AB based in an Asian Country X is interested in setting up an onion oil extraction plant.
- Firm AB requested APCTT to identify the technology provider and informed that they had adequate land for setting up the plant on a turn-key basis
- Through APCTT's efforts, a business firm CD based in another Asian Country Y was identified as a potential technology transferor.





Firm CD offered to transfer onion oil extraction technology under the following terms:

- All machinery needed to set up a production line (crusher, filter, vaporizer etc.), with a processing capacity of 10 tons of onion per day to extract onion oil, would be supplied on a turn-key basis.
- The cost of providing the machinery and commissioning it in Firm AB's factory would be US\$ 430,000
- In addition, an annual fixed technology transferee fee of US\$ 43,000 should be paid for a period of 20 years.





Firm AB was reluctant to consider this offer from Firm CD stating that the <u>annual fixed technology transfer</u> <u>fee was very high</u> and over a period of 20 years the total payment would be double that of the cost of setting up the production plant. Attempts to get Firm AB to do some analysis and negotiate with Firm CD were not successful.





1. Do you think that the prices quoted by Firm CD are high? If you were Firm AB would you consider this offer?

2. What type of analysis would you carry out to decide whether the cost of the technology is reasonable or not? What additional information would you need to do this analysis?

3. What additional information would you ask from Firm CD to supplement your analysis?





Basic data:

- Processing capacity = 10 tons/day
- Cost of plant = US\$ 430,000

Technology Transfer (TT) Fee per year = US\$ 43,000

Period of TT payment = 20 years





Some Basic Assumptions: (Costs are based on the Indian setting) Yield (0.5% of onion oil per ton of onion) 0.005 tons (5 kg) = Land, building and factory construction US\$ 500,000 costs = Average salary per shopfloor worker US\$ 150 per month = Average salary per technical/managerial staff US\$ 400 per month = Utility cost (electricity) US\$ 3,000 per month = Cost per ton of onion US\$ 40 per ton = Working days per month 25 = Depreciation rate for the building 05% = Depreciation rate for plant and machinery 10% =





Some preliminary calculations:

- Annual raw material usage = 10 x 25 x 12 = 3,000 tons
- At a yield of 0.5%, the total oil extracted per year = 15,000 kg
- Total annual shopfloor labour cost= US\$1,500 x 12 = US\$ 18,000
- Total annual technical/managerial cost= US\$ 1,200 X 12 = US\$ 14,400
- Total annual raw material cost= US\$ 40 x 3,000 = US\$ 120,000
- Annual utility costs= US\$ 3,000 x 12

= US\$ 36,000





Cost of production (in US\$) per annum:

•	Materials	=	120,000
•	Shopfloor labour	=	18,000
٠	Technical staff	=	14,400
٠	Utilities	=	36,000
•	Depreciation (plant and machinery)	=	43,000
•	Depreciation (buildings)	=	25,000
•	TT fees	=	43,000
	Total	=	299,400

- This can be rounded off to US\$ 300,000. Thus the total cost of production per year is US\$ 300,000
- The production **cost per kg of onion oil** = 300,000/15,000 = **US\$ 20**





- If the selling price per kg of onion oil is less than US\$ 20 then the valuation is too high based on the Indian cost setting
- If the selling price per kg of onion oil is US\$ 30, then the profit before tax (PBT)=(US\$ 30 US\$ 20) x 15,000 = US\$ 150,000

The question is whether this is a reasonable return?

- If the seller did not charge a TT fee of US\$ 43,000 then PBT would have been US\$ 193,000
- Thus what the seller is doing is taking US\$ 43,000 out of the US\$ 193,000 leaving the buyer with US\$ 150,000
- The profit share (PS) being extracted by the seller is = US\$ 43,000 / US\$ 193,000 = 0.2228 = 22.28 %
- Thus based on the seller's technology, the buyer is making a profit of which the seller is taking 22.28%.





Making a Business Case

The key issue is whether this Profit Sharing is acceptable to the buyer. It must not be forgotten that any TT transaction is a business deal where both buyer and seller should work towards a "winwin" situation





Need for Sensitivity Analysis

- Let us assume that the buyer accepts that a Profit Sharing of 22.28% is reasonable and agrees to go for the arrangement.
- It must be remembered that the business case has been made on the basis of many assumptions. These assumptions could prove to be incorrect with time.





- Is the yield of 0.5% realistic? What if it is only 0.1% or lower? The whole project will fall apart if this happens. Can onion oil prices go down?
- Can the seller give a guarantee that the yield will be consistent at around 0.5%? Can this be certified by a recognized agency? Can we build a penalty clause into the agreement if the technology does not give the desired yield?
- The oil extracted will depend on the quality of the onions. If the onions have too much water then the yield will be lower. How can this be prevented? We need to consult with agronomy experts.
- Even if the yield is good and the water content can be controlled, what if labour costs, utility costs, and raw material costs go up? Can we pass on these costs to the buyers of onion oil? Is the onion oil market a perfect or imperfect market? Are there many producers of onion oil?





Decision Making

- The buyer could tell the seller that a period of 20 years TT fee payment for a technology is too long since technology changes rapidly. A 10 year payment could be negotiated with an understanding that the seller will upgrade the plant at the end of 10 years with the latest technology after which a further 10 year payment can be considered.
- A major conclusion is that technology valuation can only be based on a good understanding of the business setting and a robust business case must be prepared based on realistic assumptions and a good sensitivity analysis.





Concluding Remarks

- **Skill** to perform a proper technology valuation is a prerequisite for planning and implementing technology transfer projects
- As part of its work programme, APCTT has developed training modules on planning and implementing technology transfer projects and conducts capacity building programmes using the "training of trainer" modality
- APCTT's online resources such as Technology4SME database, Renewable Energy-Cooperation Network for the Asia Pacific (RECAP), SATNET Asia as well as Tech Monitor provides free of cost access to information on various technologies and partnership possibilities





Thank you

Reach us at

Asian and Pacific Centre for Transfer of Technology (APCTT)

United Nations ESCAP

C-2, Qutab Institutional Area, New Delhi – 110 016, India

Tel: 91-11-3097-3758 | Fax: 91-11-26856274

Email : srinivasaraghavan@un.org | Website : www.apctt.org



